

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/761,856	01/20/2004	Olaf Kruse	RICHT-45041	3074	
26252 7	590 11/21/2005		EXAMINER		
KELLY LOWRY & KELLEY, LLP 6320 CANOGA AVENUE			DOLINAR, ANDREW M		
SUITE 1650	AAVENUE	,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WOODLAND	HILLS, CA 91367	•	3747	-	
			DATE MAIL ED. 11/21/2001	DATE MAIL ED: 11/21/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/761,856	KRUSE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Andrew M. Dolinar	3747				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Se	eptember 2005.					
,— ,	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-8,10,11 and 13-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8,10,11 and 13-19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date S. Retent and Trademath Office.						

Application/Control Number: 10/761,856

Art Unit: 3747

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The objection to the drawings under 37 CFR 1.83(a) is withdrawn in view of the amendment to claim 11.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-5, 7, 10, 13-16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kawasaki et al (US 2001/0047786 A1). The starter has a rope reel 21 and pulley 31, which is rotatable by way of spring coupling element 15. A sleeve, as claimed, is defined by the cylindrical portions of rope reel 21 and pulley 31 surrounding axle 12.

Claims 1-5, 7, 11, 13-16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Leasure et al (US 6,782,863 B2). Claims embrace at least the recoil starter embodiment shown in Figure 10, which includes a rope pulley 86, an engaging element 90 with a sleeve portion, and a spring coupling element 88.

Art Unit: 3747

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawasaki et al (US 2001/0047786 A1) in view of Forbess (US 2,511,908). Kawasaki et al discloses the claimed invention as stated above except for hardened metal. Forbess teaches that it is known to use hardened components in a recoil starter (column 2, line 27; column 3, line 6). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the recoil starter of Kawasaki et al of hardened components, as taught by Forbess, in order to make the starter more durable.


Claims 8 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawasaki et al (US 2001/0047786 A1) in view of Uhl (US 5,287,832). Kawasaki et al discloses the claimed invention as stated above except that the spring coupling element is not expressly disclosed as being pretensioned (claim 18). Uhl teaches that it is known to pretension a spring coupling element. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to pretension the spring coupling element, as taught by Uhl, in the starter of Kawasaki et al in order to apply desired torque from the rope reel to the pulley.

Application/Control Number: 10/761,856

Art Unit: 3747

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The similarity of the element 8 identified as the "bushing or sleeve" and corresponding elements of the prior art is evident from the below side by side comparison applicant's starter (right) with those of Kawasaki et al (left) and Liesure et al (center).



The claims set forth no detail of structure that would serve to distinguish over the references as applied. One must bear in mind that, especially in nonchemical cases, the words in a claim are generally not limited in their meaning by what is shown or disclosed in the specification. See, e.g., *Liebel-Flarsheim Co. v. Medrad Inc.*, 358 F.3d 898, 906, 69 USPQ2d 1801, 1807 (Fed. Cir. 2004).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date

of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Andrew M. Dolinar whose telephone number is (571) 272-4840. The

examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Thu. 7:45 - 6:15.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Henry Yuen can be reached on (571) 272-4856. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Andrew M. Dolinar Primary Examiner

and M. Offi

Art Unit 3747

AMD